Case 2:07-cv-00019-CAB Document 28 Filed 06/15/2007 Page 1 of 3

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF WYOMING

JUN 15 2007

Stephan Harris, Clerk Cheyenne

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) |
|--|-----------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| vs. |) Case No. 07-CR-19-B |
| JANE JOYCE RICE; WATER GARDEN, INC.; PERPETUAL LEGACY CORP.; CARTE BLANCHE TRUST; & NEWPORT PACIFIC TRUST CO., LTD., |))) |
| Defendants. |)) |

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING OUTSTANDING MOTIONS

This matter came before the Court at a hearing on June 4, 2007, on several outstanding motions filed by Plaintiff Jane Joyce Rice. The Court waited for nearly an hour, but the Plaintiff, who is representing herself, did not appear for the hearing. Nicholas Vassallo appeared in person for the Government and Michael G. Pitman appeared by phone for the Government. After considering the motions and the arguments of the parties, the Court finds as follows:

On January 19, 2007, the Government filed this action to reduce to judgment federal tax assessments against Jane Joyce Rice, and to foreclose federal tax liens against Rice's residence,

Case 2:07-cv-00019-CAB Document 28 Filed 06/15/2007 Page 2 of 3

royalty interest in mineral rights, undivided one-half interest in a 118.32 acre parcel of unimproved ranch land, and a personal motor vehicle.

On March 20, 2007, Plaintiff filed a motion to quash service of process and motion to dismiss. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation denying the motions. Plaintiff filed amended motions to quash service and to dismiss on April 18, 2007, and filed a supplement to the motions on May 14, 2007. She filed a second amended motion to quash on May 29, 2007.

The Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation denying Plaintiff's motion to quash and motion to dismiss should be adopted for the reasons set forth by the Magistrate Judge. The Court also finds that each of Plaintiff's successive motions to quash and motions to dismiss should be denied. The Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge and the Government that the Plaintiff was properly served, that venue is proper, and that the United States has ten years to collect the Plaintiff's taxes under 26 U.S.C. § 6502.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation Denying Jane Joyce Rice's Motion to Quash Service of Process and Dismiss Complaint is adopted and

the Plaintiff's motions are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each of Plaintiff's remaining outstanding motions are DENIED.

Dated this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of June, 2007.

Clarence A. Brimmer

United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 07-CV-019-B

vs.

Cheyenne, Wyoming January 4, 2008

JANE JOYCE RICE,

10:45 a.m.

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLARENCE A. BRIMMER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

MR. MICHAEL G. PITMAN

Trial Attorney

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Tax Division P.O. Box 683

Washington, D.C. 20044

For the Defendant:

MS. JANE RICE

Pro Se

9 Pleasant Valley Lane Sheridan, Wyoming 82801

Court Reporter:

Ms. Janet Davis, RMR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 2120 Capitol Avenue, Room 2228

Cheyenne, Wyoming 82001

(307)635 - 3884

Page 2 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Motion proceedings commenced 10:45 a.m., January 4, 2008.) THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 5 is the case of the United States versus Jane Joyce Rice; Water 6 Garden, Incorporated; Perpetual Legacy Corporation; Carte 7 Blanche Trust and Newport Pacific Trust Company, Limited. we have the motions of Miss Rice to set aside the clerk's entry 8 of default and for reconsideration of the motion to quash 10 service. 11 So I will be glad to hear you, Mrs. Rice. MS. RICE: May I come forward? 12 13 Thank you, Judge Brimmer. I have some statements I 14 would like to make. Could I give you a copy? 15 Would it be all right to give him a copy of what I'm 16 going to say here? 17 I have a copy of what I have got prepared here that I 18 could give to you if you would like. 19 Number one, for the record, my name is Jane Joyce 20 My appearance at this hearing is special rather than general for the purpose of making a verbal record of this 21 Court's lack of both in personam jurisdiction over me and 23 in rem jurisdiction over the property of concern in the 24 complaint. 25 Two, for the record, I declare my character as an

- 1 American citizen. I was born at Sheridan within the sovereign
- Wyoming state which is within neither a federal state or
- 9 enclave. This declaration is made in writing by affidavit
- 4 provided to this Court together with my motion to quash.
- 5 Three, for the record, I distinguish my character and
- 6 status by declaring once again that I am not a U.S. citizen
- ⁷ subject under the Fourteenth Amendment or as identified by the
- 8 cases historically known as the Insular cases or as defined in
- 9 Title 26 of the Code of Federal Regulations in
- Section 1.1-1(a)(2). I am not a, quote, taxpayer, end quote,
- as that term is defined by Title 26 of the United States Code.
- Four, for the record, no administrative remedy is
- provided nor form provided or made available to me relative to
- the plaintiff's claims as all administrative procedures
- otherwise provided are for, quote, taxpayers, end quote, as
- that term is defined by Title 26 of the United States Code and
- do not apply to nontaxpayers.
- 18 Five, for the record, this district court, a
- 19 territorial court under Article 1 of the United States
- 20 Constitution, as distinguished from a constitutional court
- 21 under Article III, is a legislative court, a congressional
- creation of 28 U.S.C. Section 132 under the direct control of
- 23 Congress and is unable to provide me a remedy in this instance.
- Six, this district court, a court of limited, as
- distinguished from general, jurisdiction is unable to access

- the impartiality needed to determine my status and character.
- In this regard, I notice this Court of Judge Leavy's decision
- and comments in Cochran versus Paul and Tacoma Lumber Company,
- 4 73 Federal Supplement 288.
- Seven, to demonstrate that U.S. District Courts are
- 6 incapable of providing an impartial tribunal, I quote from
- Judge Wyzanski's decision in Lord versus Kelly et al., 240
- Federal Supplement 167, 1965, where he said, and I quote, "More
- than once the judges of a court have been indirectly reminded
- that they personally are taxpayers. No sophisticated person is
- unaware that even in this very commonwealth the Internal
- Revenue Service has been in possession of facts with respect to
- public officials which it has presented or shelved in order to
- serve what can only be called political ends, be they high or
- low. And a judge who knows the score is aware that every time
- his decisions offend the Internal Revenue Service, he is
- inviting a close inspection of his own returns," end quote by
- Judge Wyzanski.
- Eight, for the record, the language of the Declaratory
- Judgment Act -- declaratory -- I said that wrong -- Judgment
- 21 Act, Title 28, Section 2201, expressly precludes a federal
- court from granting declaratory relief in federal tax cases.
- Because a United States District Court is prohibited by this
- 24 act from providing a remedy where the underlying issues involve
- Title 26 or its implementing regulations, this Court cannot

decide the legal relations or rights of the parties in this 1 dispute. 3 See, for example, Willis versus Alexander, 575 Federal 2d 495, Fifth Circuit, 1978; and West Chester Feed and Supply 5 Company versus Erwin, 438 Federal 2d 929, Sixth Circuit, 1971; and Red Star Yeast and Products Company versus LaBudde, 83 7 Federal 2d 394, Seventh Circuit, 1936. Nine, for the record, this district court has no 8 9 capacity to recognize my character or status or to provide me 10 with adequate remedy. While the Anti-Injunction Act, 11 26 U.S.C. Section 7421, does not specifically prohibit me, a 12 nontaxpayer, from seeking an injunction against the United 13 States, the Declaratory Judgment Act bars federal district courts from determining my lawful character, relations and 14 15 rights juxtaposed to those of the plaintiff in matters of 16 federal taxation. 17 Ten, my conclusion relative to remedy in this 18 instance, Congress, by way of the Anti-Injunction Act, coupled with the Declaratory Judgment Act, has effectively barred any 19 20 relief under Title 28 U.S.C. which only governs remedies or 21 lack thereof for U.S. citizen subjects while providing no 22 remedy for me, a native born American non-U.S. citizen subject. 23 Eleven, a metaphor best describing the circumstance I 24 am describing is this is a tribal court and I am not a member 25 of the tribe.

Page 6 1 Twelve, for the record, in support of my motion to 2 reconsider or for findings of fact and conclusions of law or to 3 certify for appeal the denial of my amended motion to quash, I say: One, this Court lacks in rem jurisdiction to foreclose on real or personal property in Wyoming not situate in a federal enclave or territory of the United States. 7 THE COURT: Now, you must define for me what you 8 regard as a federal enclave. 9 Federally owned land within a state that 10 has been signed over. THE COURT: The only enclave of federal jurisdiction 11 12 that I am aware of that's anywhere close to us here is the 13 Warren Air Base, but, of course, another one that readily comes to mind is the Wind River Indian Reservation. Those are both 14 15 federal enclaves. 16 Now, is it your contention that the only way you could 17 have been served with process from this court was on a federal 18 enclave such as the Indian reservation or the air base? 19 MS. RICE: No. THE COURT: Well, you go ahead and tell me what your 20 21 argument is, then. 22 What they are attaching is not under the MS. RICE: 23 U.S. federal government ownership. THE COURT: So you're saying that the United States 24 could only enforce its tax laws against property in a federal 25

1 enclave? What I would like you to do, sir, is to MS. RICE: look into the information that we have and you will find it. THE COURT: Well, I'm still not sure I understand what 4 5 your argument is, but I will let you go ahead and explain it. Thank you. 6 MS. RICE: 7 I will repeat the number one: This Court lacks in rem 8 jurisdiction to foreclose on real or personal property in Wyoming not situate in a federal enclave or territory of the 10 United States. That refers to the U.S.C. 11 Two, this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction under 26 U.S.C. 7402(e) as this is not an action to quiet title 12 13 and no facts were pled in the complaint as such. 14 referring to some of the other papers. 15 Three, this Court lacks jurisdiction over the subject 16 matter under 28 U.S.C. Sections 1340, 1345 and 26 U.S.C. 7402(a) on ground that the chief counsel of the IRS lacks the 17 capacity and standing to foreclose on property not in 19 territories or enclaves of the United States as the scope and 20 purview of his power is statutorily -- statute -- statutorily limited to cases, actions, territory and property within the 21 22 exclusive legislative jurisdiction of the United States, i.e., within the District of Columbia, possessions and territories of 23 24 the United States. See paragraphs 3(b), 3(c), 5(i) and 9 of my 25 amended motion.

```
Page 8
 1
              THE COURT: Now, why do you say that?
 2
              MS. RICE:
                          The law says that, sir.
 3
                           The definitions of the jurisdiction of
              THE COURT:
     this court have been that the COURT has jurisdiction of matters
 5
     involving a question of federal law, or in civil cases they
 6
     have jurisdiction of diversity cases between citizens of
 7
     different states involving an amount of over 75,000.
              So in that sense, the Court is of limited jurisdiction
 9
     and its cases must arise under the federal laws.
                                                        But a tax
10
     case, which yours is, arises under the federal tax laws.
11
     think under those circumstances the Court would have
12
     jurisdiction.
13
              MS. RICE: According to this number three, to me that
14
     explains it.
15
              May I go on, sir?
16
              THE COURT: Yes, of course.
17
                         Four, this Court lacks subject matter
     jurisdiction due to the plaintiff's lack of capacity to
18
19
     initiate an action against someone other than a U.S. citizen
20
     subject, see paragraph 8, et seq. of my amended motion.
21
              Now, this is my argument. Without opposition by the
22
     plaintiff to my position that this court lacks both in personam
23
     and in rem jurisdiction, the Court summarily rejected my
24
     position without providing findings of fact and conclusions of
25
     law supporting its denial. On none of the issues did the Court
```

- cite controlling authority to reject my status and supporting
- 2 arguments.
- Rather, the Court merely adopted the Magistrate's
- 4 report which restated the plaintiff's misuse of U.S. V Cooper,
- 5 170 Federal 3d 691, a Seventh Circuit case that is neither
- 6 controlling nor on point to the issues raised in my motion to
- quash. This may be because there is no decision in the Tenth
- 8 Circuit directly on point.
- 9 On the issues of whether the chief counsel has
- standing to authorize this case and whether the Court has
- in rem jurisdiction to foreclose on real or personal property
- outside a federal enclave, this Court was silent.
- Because my position involves controlling questions of
- law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of
- opinion, should the Court sustain its order denying my motion
- to quash, an immediate appeal from the order may materially
- advance the ultimate termination of this litigation.
- I, therefore, ask the Court, should it again deny my
- motion to quash, that it make findings of fact and conclusions
- of law and to certify its order for interlocutory appeal.
- In conclusion, my motions to quash set forth
- controlling questions of law as to the jurisdiction to which
- there is substantial ground for difference of opinion. Since
- any one of the arguments that I raised would materially advance
- the ultimate termination of this case, I contend that

- certification fulfills the second requirement under 28 U.S.C.
- 2 Section 1292(b).
- 3 Lastly, I add that an immediate appeal would
- facilitate the resolution of these issues. A decision by the
- 5 Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals would protect other Wyoming
- 6 citizens from claims outside the jurisdiction of the United
- 7 States. A decision by the appellate court on the topics would
- be beneficial in determining the course of future litigation on
- 9 these positions.
- For these reasons, as well as the reasons stated in my
- motion to quash, I move this Court to reverse itself and grant
- my motion to quash, or, in the alternative, to make findings of
- facts and conclusions of law in support of its denial of my
- motion to quash and to certify the issues for interlocutory
- 15 appeal.
- That is all I have.
- THE COURT: Anything further?
- I've got a couple of questions for you, Mrs. Rice.
- First, you are obviously a resident of Sheridan
- 20 County; is that correct?
- MS. RICE: Yes.
- THE COURT: And have been for many, many years?
- MS. RICE: Yes.
- THE COURT: Very well. And you are a United States
- citizen also, are you not?

```
Page 11
 1
              MS. RICE: Yes, under the status that is in my
 2
     explanation.
 3
              THE COURT: And were you served with process, that is,
     a summons issued by this court after the case was first
 5
     brought?
              The Government filed the action on January 19th, 2007
 6
     to reduce to judgment the federal tax assessments. Were you
 8
     served with notice of that filing?
 9
              MS. RICE: On February 14th.
10
              THE COURT: On February 14th. All right.
11
              And then am I correct in my understanding that you
12
     didn't do anything about it?
13
                         No, we replied to it and you have all of
              MS. RICE:
14
     that information.
              THE COURT: You didn't --
15
16
              MS. RICE:
                         We have done everything properly.
17
              THE COURT: You didn't reply to it in any way?
18
                         I have a whole file and I don't have it
              MS. RICE:
19
     with me.
20
              THE COURT: Is this not the first time that you have
21
     appeared in the United States District Court for the District
22
     of Wyoming?
23
                         Yes, sir. I was called in last June.
              MS. RICE:
24
              THE COURT: All right. And you heretofore filed a
25
     motion to quash service of process as well as a motion to
```

- dismiss, and I understand that you did not appear before the
- 2 Magistrate in any way either.
- MS. RICE: I was not called to appear before the
- 4 Magistrate.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. And consequently, the
- 6 Magistrate ended up denying your motion to quash and your
- 7 motion to dismiss and recommended to this Court that it find
- 8 that you were properly served, that there was venue in this
- 9 case, and that the actions of the United States were to collect
- the taxes that you owed, and, therefore, it recommended that I
- deny your motions, which I have done.
- Tell me this: Did you receive notice of that
- 13 decision?
- 14 MS. RICE: The decision that was made in June?
- THE COURT: The decision of this Court that the
- Magistrate -- adopting the Magistrate's recommendations.
- MS. RICE: Sir, we have been --
- THE COURT: I believe that was filed on June 15th of
- 19 last year.
- MS. RICE: All that has been responded. There is on
- 21 file all of the replies, all of the papers. It is all in the
- paperwork that has been put in.
- THE COURT: All right. So you did have notice of it?
- MS. RICE: There has been a response whenever
- necessary, sir.

```
Page 13
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Well, Mrs. Rice, was there any reason that
 2
     you then didn't appear --
 3
              MS. RICE:
                         In June, sir?
              THE COURT: -- and respond?
 5
              MS. RICE:
                         In June? Are you talking about the June
     hearing in your court?
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
              MS. RICE: I was in Saint Vincent's Hospital in
 9
     Billings, Montana on that day, and my son reminded me.
10
     said -- it was the very morning that you were -- I had had an
     angiogram and gone home to Sheridan, which doesn't have any
12
     kind of cardiology treatment, and I had a hematoma and they
13
     just didn't do anything to stop it. And I had to go to
14
     Billings, and it was on the very 4th that I was operated on,
15
     sir, to save my life. And I wrote and explained it.
16
     papers are all here. There's an explanation here.
17
              THE COURT: Well, I've gone through this file and I
     haven't seen anything that indicated that you told the Court,
18
19
     namely me, that you were in the hospital and unable to respond
20
     and asked for more time.
21
              MS. RICE: We did not do that. We sent in an
22
     explanation. After I got out of the hospital it was sent in.
23
     And I saw that paper last night. I have it in my briefcase.
24
              THE COURT: Well, Mrs. Rice, the courts of this
25
     country, including this court, operate on a system of
```

```
Page 14
 1
     deadlines. You either respond by a certain time or you are
 2
     defaulted. Were you aware of this?
 3
              MS. RICE:
                         Yes, we were. And I don't know --
              THE COURT: Well, then why --
 5
              MS. RICE: -- I was incapacitated where I couldn't do
     anything about it, and I had --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Well, why didn't you do anything about it?
 8
              MS. RICE: Sir, I was in the hospital from May 29th
          And I suppose I could have called the Court from there,
 9
10
     but it did not enter my mind.
11
                          When did you get out of the hospital.
              THE COURT:
12
              MS. RICE:
                         Sir?
13
                          When did you get out of the hospital?
                         It was about -- I can't remember if it was
14
              MS. RICE:
     the 8th or the 11th, something like that, of June.
15
16
              THE COURT: After you got out of the hospital, did you
17
     ever --
18
              MS. RICE:
                         That was when --
19
              THE COURT: -- write to me or file a motion of any
20
     type asking for more time?
21
              MS. RICE:
                         I -- I don't remember what it said, sir,
22
     but there is a paper in there that explains the situation. And
23
     I apologize that I didn't let you know. I sincerely apologize
24
     for not knowing that.
25
              THE COURT: Well, even if you were given more time
```

- because of your illness and hospitalization, could you have
- 2 presented some valid defense to the action to foreclose these
- 3 tax liens?
- MS. RICE: I believe, sir, that you have in your
- 5 records -- we were -- what we were doing at that time was
- 6 arguing the same thing we are arguing now -- we, being me and
- 7 the frog in my pocket -- that it didn't -- it was not under the
- 9 jurisdiction. We had -- we had -- as soon as I got that, I was
- 9 advised and we did everything that we were supposed to do in
- saying this is why we don't belong in that court and still
- arguing the same facts I'm arguing today, sir. And that's
- really all I have to say about it.
- THE COURT: Well, may I ask you this: Are you a
- member of any tax protest organizations?
- MS. RICE: What does -- no, sir.
- THE COURT: Well, now, there are several organizations
- of that type. I am not familiar with them all, but over the
- 18 years I have had representatives of different tax protest
- organizations appear in this court, such as -- Posse Comitatus
- is one of them -- and they usually take positions that are
- similar to the ones you're taking now.
- MS. RICE: But, sir, that's irrelevant to what I am
- 23 arguing. I have no connection with quasi-organizations.
- THE COURT: And no member of any of those
- organizations is helping you with your responses?

```
Page 16
 1
              MS. RICE:
                         Sir, no, sir.
 2
              THE COURT: Have you consulted a lawyer, by any
 3
     chance --
              MS. RICE:
                        Yes, I have.
 5
              THE COURT: -- regarding your responses?
 6
              MS. RICE:
                        Yes, I have. Of course I have.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          And is that a person who is admitted to
 8
     practice before this court?
 9
                         Not in the state of Wyoming, sir.
              MS. RICE:
10
     why I'm --
11
              THE COURT:
                          I see.
                                  There's no one in Wyoming?
12
              MS. RICE:
                         Not that I know of.
13
              THE COURT:
                          I see.
                                  Well, what I'm getting at here,
14
     Mrs. Rice, is this: If I were to give you additional time, say
15
     another ten days, in which to respond, is there any response
16
     that you could make which would convince the Court that you had
17
     a valid defense?
18
                         I thought, sir, that what I have would
              MS. RICE:
                        There are a lot of people that don't have
19
     speak for itself.
20
     any understanding of this, but that's why we have stated the
21
     details in this. And you have to understand with an open mind,
22
     sir, that this is how it actually is in the law. And I -- it
23
     just -- the papers speak for themselves, I believe.
24
              THE COURT: Well, may I ask you this: These are liens
25
     against you because you didn't pay your taxes. Tell me why you
```

Page 17 1 didn't pay. 2 MS. RICE: I did in what I read, sir, and I will give 3 you a copy. THE COURT: Well, just tell me, is there a reason that 5 you didn't? 6 MS. RICE: Let me go back here. 7 If you read Title 26 of the United States Code, and in my number three that I stated I am not a U.S. citizen subject, 9 which means -- if you know the tax laws what they really say 10 there probably isn't anyone in here who is subject to that. 11 And I think, just for your information -- and I followed your 12 career all your life and my life that you have been in public 13 office and found you to be very honorable. And I think it 14 would be worth looking into. It is really eye opening, sir. 15 And I intend to prove that as my destiny that -- and 16 there are a lot of people who are talking about changing the 17 tax laws and this is the reason that is behind a lot of that. 18 Some people have other reasons, maybe. But anyway, if you would please read this and if you would like to take the 19 20 time -- I would grant, that's up to you. But that's all I have 21 to say at this time. 22 All right. Thank you, Mrs. Rice. THE COURT: 23 Mr. Pitman, I'll be glad to hear you. 24 Good morning, Your Honor. For the MR. PITMAN: record, I'm Michael Pitman from the Tax Division of the 25

- Department of Justice here representing the plaintiff, the
- United States, today.
- I would like to begin by thanking the Court for
- 4 accommodating the Government and allowing me to appear without
- 5 an Assistant United States Attorney this morning.
- As I understand it, there's currently two related
- 7 issues before the Court.
- THE COURT: Mr. Pitman, I'm sorry, you've got to speak
- 9 directly into that mike. It isn't the world's best mike, but
- this morning I forgot to put my hearing aid in, and, as a
- result, I am without it so you've got to speak a little louder.
- MR. PITMAN: Very well, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
- 13 Thought I was blasting people out. Too much volume.
- It is my understanding that we're here today to
- evaluate two related issues, the first of which is the
- defendant's motion that the Court reconsider its order denying
- her motion to quash service and dismiss the complaint or
- otherwise certify that decision for an interlocutory appeal.
- As we have heard and it is my understanding based on
- the pleadings, the defendant's position is that as a resident
- of the state of Wyoming she's not subject to federal
- jurisdiction, that the United States is somehow distinct from
- the United States of America.
- These are positions that I imagine the Court has
- encountered in the past. They're positions that have been

- evaluated by every circuit in the country and unanimously
- rejected, including the Tenth Circuit. And there's case law
- 3 cited in the Government's opposition to the defendant's motion.
- 4 Accordingly, it is the Government's position that
- 5 there's no basis to reconsider the decision to deny the
- 6 defendant's motion to quash or dismiss, and there is certainly
- 7 no substantial grounds for difference of opinion -- excuse
- 8 me -- regarding the law that would justify certification under
- ⁹ 28 1292(b).
- The second issue before the Court today is the entry
- of default against the defendant in this case. Just as
- background, Your Honor, this case is now almost a year old and
- there's no -- no answer has been filed. The plaintiff did file
- what -- a motion under Rule 12, a motion to quash or dismiss.
- 15 And when that motion was denied, obviously under the federal
- rules the clock starting ticking for her to file her answer.
- Instead, she filed the motion to reconsider or to
- certify, and it is my understanding based on her pleadings that
- she interpreted that pleading as a responsive pleading under
- the rule or was filed in lieu of an answer, but of course under
- 21 28 1292(b) the filing of a motion to certify does not stay a
- district court action absent court order. And I believe that
- the defendant was aware of that requirement in that she
- reguested a stay be entered in her motion.
- Of course the Court did not issue a stay. That motion

- is still pending. Nevertheless, the defendant is obligated to
- continue litigating this action. By failing to file a
- responsive pleading for several months now, she has, indeed,
- defaulted, and accordingly, the entry of default is
- 5 appropriate.
- THE COURT: With regard to the motion to appeal the
- 7 case -- and of course that's her right to do so. I have no
- 8 doubt about that -- do you have any objection to a stay of the
- 9 proceedings to foreclose the tax liens pending appeal?
- MR. PITMAN: The only opposition the Government would
- 11 raise to a stay is -- are basically timely concerns. This
- matter has been proceeding very slowly, has been pending for
- 13 quite a while. An appeal is almost certainly -- an appeal of
- the Court's decision to deny the motion, in my opinion, is
- entirely frivolous and could actually expose Miss Rice to
- sanctions by the circuit court for raising these arguments once
- again after they have been so thoroughly rejected.
- I don't believe that it would -- I'm sorry, Your
- 19 Honor.
- THE COURT: The Court of Appeals does have expedited
- 21 methods of reviewing cases, and I think a committee of that
- court reviews cases such as this and place -- and can place
- them on an expedited hearing basis. I'm not sure how long such
- 24 an expedited track would take, but I would guess it could be
- 25 six to eight months.

Page 21 1 And would you feel that the United States would incur 2 any harm to its position by a stay for something like that period of time? 3 4 MR. PITMAN: Well, the primary harm would be a 5 possible dissipation of the funds and/or property at issue as 6 well as possible destruction of evidence or loss of memories of witnesses; basically complication of future discovery. THE COURT: All right. Well, go ahead, Mr. Pitman. I believe -- in essence, Your Honor, I MR. PITMAN: 10 don't think that the requirements of 28 U.S.C. 1292 have been 11 satisfied in this case in that there's no grounds whatsoever 12 for a dispute regarding the governing law. And accordingly, 13 the Government would oppose the defendant's motion to certify 14 and for reconsideration. 15 THE COURT: Anything further? 16 MR. PITMAN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right, thank you. MR. PITMAN: 18 Thank you. 19 Mrs. Rice, you're entitled to a rebuttal THE COURT: 20 argument to rebut the arguments that Mr. Pitman just made. 21 MS. RICE: I just happen to have one. I just happen 22 to have one, sir, Your Honor. 23 The plaintiff's position seems to be that because I did not file an answer subsequent to this Court's denial of my 24

motion to quash, but, instead, filed a motion to reconsider,

25

- or, in the alternative, a motion for findings of fact and
- conclusions of law and to certify the order for appeal, that
- the plaintiff is entitled to an entry of default and a default
- 4 judgment.
- 5 Can you hear me all right, sir? This is a little
- 6 higher than it was.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
- MS. RICE: The fact is that I have not failed to file
- 9 an answer nor am I in default because I have been waiting for
- the Court to enter a ruling on my motion to reconsider. The
- proverbial ball has been in your court, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Well, I believe that the Court has entered
- an order on your motion. How can you say that it hasn't? Did
- you not receive the order of this Court -- let's see. Of
- course, the clerk's order that you were in default on
- August 13th, you know, when the clerk acts for this Court,
- that's the Court acting.
- MS. RICE: We responded to that too, sir. But there
- was one I received in July, I believe.
- THE COURT: All right. Well, go ahead, Mrs. Rice.
- MS. RICE: Okay. It, therefore, remains my position
- that the plaintiff is not entitled to the entry of default by
- the clerk of the court and that that entry should be vacated.
- The plaintiff is using a tortured construction of 28 U.S.C.
- 25 1292(b) as a basis for their reasoning that I was required to

- file an answer notwithstanding my motion to reconsider.
- 2 However, 1292(b) merely provides that a stay may be
- granted upon application for an interlocutory appeal. Because
- I have made no application for an interlocutory appeal, but
- 5 have, rather, instead merely asked this Court to certify the
- 6 issues for appeal so that I may apply for an appeal, the
- 7 plaintiff's use of the statute is -- authority obligating me to
- file an answer while awaiting this Court's ruling on my motion
- 9 to reconsider is, at best, specious. The entry of default by
- the clerk should, therefore, be vacated.
- And that's all I have to say, sir.
- THE COURT: Well, now, are you aware of the order by
- this Court of June 15th? And this was an order which adopted
- the Magistrate's recommendation and denied all of your
- outstanding motions, which, of course, would include motions to
- 16 reconsider and anything else. And they were all denied last
- 17 June 15th.
- MS. RICE: Yes, sir, I received that.
- THE COURT: Well, why, then, do you say that we
- 20 haven't ruled on those?
- MS. RICE: I would have to talk to my legal advisors
- 22 and find out what the reasoning is and try to make that clear
- for you, sir, if you would allow us to do that.
- THE COURT: Well, this has gone on quite a long time
- and I don't -- I think we've got to decide it right now. So

Page 24 1 unless you have more to tell me about it, I --2 MS. RICE: Would you allow me to take a break and make 3 contact for 15 minutes and see if there's anything I can help you with? 5 THE COURT: All right. I will give you a 15-minute It is now 11:27, so I will give you a recess until 6 recess. 11:45. Thank you, sir. 8 MS. RICE: 9 THE COURT: All right. We will stand in recess. (Recess taken 11:27 a.m. until 11:45 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Mrs. Rice, the recess has expired. 11 12 you had the opportunity to get the advice and counsel that you 13 were seeking? Come forward. 14 15 Yes, sir. I have nothing further to say. MS. RICE: 16 THE COURT: All right. I will be glad to hear 17 whatever you wish to tell me. 18 MS. RICE: No, I say I have nothing further to say, 19 sir. Well, Mrs. Rice, the Court is aware of the 20 THE COURT: fact that you have your home at stake, and I think you also 21 22 have an undivided interest in 118 acres of ranchland that 23 your -- I think it is owned by your family. 24 Do you realize that these actions that you're taking 25 are endangering these interests?

- All right. If you have nothing to say, the Court
- finds that the arguments of the defendant, Jane Joyce Rice, are
- without any merit whatsoever, in fact or in law, and the Court
- finds that Mrs. Rice has not presented the Court with any
- 5 suitable legal reason for not having appeared, that she has had
- time since the entry of the order of June 15th to seek
- 7 reconsideration on the ground that she was in the hospital at
- 8 the time that she defaulted before the Court.
- And that would have been a good ground for an
- extension of time, but, Mrs. Rice, you haven't asked for it.
- And now on December 4th, 2007, the clerk signed an
- entry of default and I think that that default was well taken.
- So at this time the motions to quash and the motions to dismiss
- and the motions for rehearing thereon are all denied.
- And, Mr. Pitman, I understand that what you seek now
- is a judgment of foreclosure?
- MR. PITMAN: If I may, Your Honor, the Government
- is -- the Government would have been -- would be willing to
- 19 allow the case to proceed despite the proper default were
- Miss Rice able to present any substantive defense.
- However, I think today she told us that she has none,
- 22 and so I think that a judgment of default is appropriate as
- long as the Court has determined, properly in my opinion, that
- her legal arguments against the assessments at issue are
- 25 specious.

- THE COURT: Well, the judgment of default entered by
- the clerk is obviously well taken. And now the question is
- what's next? And I think the next thing would be a judgment of
- 4 foreclosure.
- 5 MR. PITMAN: I would be glad to prepare a proposed
- 6 judgment for Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Well, I want you to prepare the necessary
- order of foreclosure and submit a copy to Mrs. Rice.
- And, Mrs. Rice, you may have ten days from the date of
- 10 receipt of that to make any motions or arguments you wish
- before the Court. I will be glad to hear you. But if you
- don't make any within a ten-day period, I will assume that you
- have, as you just now said, nothing more to say, and the
- judgment of foreclosure may be entered.
- Now, the judgment shall then be stayed pending appeal.
- And your process of appealing is this: Within ten days of the
- entry of that order, you must file a notice of appeal. And
- then you have a duty of assembling the record on appeal and
- 19 getting a transcript of any of the proceedings of this court
- that you feel should be brought to the attention of the Court
- of Appeals of the Tenth Circuit.
- 22 And then, of course, there are times that that Court
- may set for the filing of the record on appeal and the briefs.
- 24 And those times must be scrupulously observed. You cannot
- expect that Court to be as liberal and forgiving as this Court

```
Page 27
 1
     has been. And it operates on a difficult time schedule, and it
 2
     just doesn't brook delay of any type. So you've got to be
 3
     very, very careful not to commit any defaults there.
               Is there anything else, Mr. Pitman?
              MR. PITMAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you, very much.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Well, prepare what the
 7
     Government wants on this case and show that Mrs. Rice's
     arguments have been considered and found to be without legal
     merit and denied.
10
              MR. PITMAN: I will do that, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right.
                                       Fine.
12
              And the court will stand in recess subject to call --
13
     or until 1:30.
14
         (Hearing proceedings concluded
15
         11:55 a.m., January 4, 2008.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

U.S. District Court District of Wyoming (Cheyenne) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:07-cv-00019-CAB

USA v. Rice et al

Assigned to: Judge Clarence A Brimmer Referred to: Judge William C Beaman

Demand: \$1,062,000

Cause: 26:7401 IRS: Tax Liability

Plaintiff

USA represented by Michael G Pitman

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Date Filed: 01/19/2007

Nature of Suit: 870 Taxes

Jury Demand: None

Tax Division P O Box 683

Ben Franklin Station

Washington, DC 20045-0683

202/305-7938 Fax: 202/307-0054

Email: Michael.G.Pitman@USDOJ.gov

Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Plaintiff

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Nicholas Vassallo

US ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

P O Box 668

Cheyenne, WY 82003-0668

307/772-2124 Fax: 307/772-2123

Email: nick.vassallo@usdoj.gov

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Jane Joyce Rice represented by Jane Joyce Rice

9 Pleasant Valley Lane Sheridan, WY 82801 307/674-6885

PRO SE

Defendant

Water Garden Inc

Defendant

Perpetual Legacy Corp

Defendant

Carte Blanche Trust

Defendant

Newport Pacific Trust Co Ltd

| Date Filed | # | Docket Text | |
|------------|-----------|---|--|
| 01/19/2007 | 1 | COMPLAINT filed by USA (summons issued)(sdn,) (Entered: 01/25/2007) | |
| 01/19/2007 | 2 | NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by Nicholas Vassallo, Assistant US Attorney, on behalf of USA (sdn,) (Entered: 01/25/2007) | |
| 01/19/2007 | 3 | NOTICE of complexity by Plaintiff USA; this case is non-complex. (sdn,) (Entered: 01/25/2007) | |
| 01/19/2007 | 4 | MOTION for Michael G Pitman to appear pro hac vice filed by Plaintiff USA; Check not tendered (government attorney). REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE.(sdn,) Additional attachment(proposed order) added on 1/25/2007 (sdn,). (Entered: 01/25/2007) | |
| 01/26/2007 | <u>5</u> | ORDER by the Honorable William C Beaman granting 4 Motion to appear pro hac vice admitting Michael Pitman for USA (cc: all counsel on 1/29/07.)(sjlg,) (Entered: 01/29/2007) | |
| 01/30/2007 | <u>6</u> | NOTICE of Recording of Notice of Pendency of Action [Lis Pendens] by Plaintiff USA (sjlg,) (Entered: 01/30/2007) | |
| 02/28/2007 | 7 | SUMMONS Returned Executed by USA. Jane Joyce Rice served on 2/14/2007, answer due 3/6/2007. (Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 02/28/2007) | |
| 03/02/2007 | 8 | MINUTE ORDER by the Honorable William C Beaman; defendant Rice given to 3/21/2007 to answer complaint.(cc: all counsel and defendant Rice on 3/5/07.)(sjs,) Text modified on 3/16/2007 (sdn,). (Entered: 03/05/2007) | |
| 03/20/2007 | 9 | Verified MOTION to Quash Service of Process and MOTION to Dismiss filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE.(sjlg,) (Entered: 03/21/2007) | |
| 03/28/2007 | <u>10</u> | MEMORANDUM in Support of 9 Motion to Quash Service of process, Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (sjs,) (Entered: 03/28/2007) | |
| 04/05/2007 | <u>11</u> | OPPOSITION filed by Plaintiff USA. (Pitman, Michael)DOCKETED IN ERROR-Called Attorney to refile and link to motion referred to. Modified on 4/5/2007 (sjs,). (Entered: 04/05/2007) | |

| 04/05/2007 | 12 | OPPOSITION to 10 Memorandum in Support of Motion, 9 Motion to Quash, Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff USA. (Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 04/05/2007) | |
|------------|-----------|--|--|
| 04/13/2007 | <u>13</u> | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS Denying 9 MOTION to Quash Service of Process and MOTION to Dismiss Complaint filed by Jane Joyce Rice,. Objections to R&R due by 4/27/2007by the Honorable William C Beaman.(cc: all counsel on 4/16/07.)(sjlg,) (Entered: 04/16/2007) | |
| 04/18/2007 | <u>14</u> | Amended Verified MOTION to Quash Service of Process and Motion and MOTION to Dismiss filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE.(sjlg,) (Entered: 04/18/2007) | |
| 04/19/2007 | <u>15</u> | NOTICE of Hearing on 14 Amended MOTION to Quash and MOTION to Dismiss: Motion Hearing set for 6/4/2007 10:00 AM in Cheyenne before Honorable Clarence A Brimmer. (cc: all counsel on 4/19/07.) (sjlg,) (Entered: 04/19/2007) | |
| 04/27/2007 | <u>16</u> | OPPOSITION to 14 Motion to Quash, Motion to Dismiss filed by Plaintiff USA. (Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 04/27/2007) | |
| 04/27/2007 | <u>17</u> | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice (sjlg,) (Entered: 04/27/2007) | |
| 05/07/2007 | <u>18</u> | MOTION for Extension of Time <i>for Service</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 05/07/2007) | |
| 05/07/2007 | <u>19</u> | MOTION for Order <i>Motion for Service by Publication</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of Brenda Seegars in Support# 2 Proposed Order # 3 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 05/07/2007) | |
| 05/08/2007 | <u>20</u> | ORDER by the Honorable William C Beaman granting 18 Motion for Extension of Time to serve the summons and complaint (cc: all counsel on 5/8/07.)(sjlg,) (Entered: 05/08/2007) | |
| 05/08/2007 | 21 | ORDER by the Honorable William C Beaman granting 19 Motion for Order for Service by Publication (cc: all counsel on 5/8/07.)(sjlg,) (Entered: 05/08/2007) | |
| 05/11/2007 | 23 | "Notice of Nullity of Magistrate Judge William C Beaman's 13 Report and Recommendations Denying 9 MOTION to Quash Service of Process and MOTION to Dismiss Complaint and Notice of Treason, and to Declare the Want of Magisgtrate Judge Jurisdiction" filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(sjlg,) (Entered: 05/15/2007) | |
| 05/14/2007 | 22 | SUPPLEMENTAL TO AMENDED VERIFIED MOTION TO QUASH SERVICE OF PROCESS AND MOTION to Dismiss filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Proposed Order) (sjs,) (Entered: 05/14/2007) | |
| l | | | |

| 05/17/2007 | 24 | NOTICE of Foreign Law Supplemental by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice (sjlg,) (Entered: 05/18/2007) | |
|------------|-----------|--|--|
| 05/17/2007 | <u>25</u> | NOTICE of Amended Verifications by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice (sjlg,) (Entered: 05/18/2007) | |
| 05/29/2007 | <u>26</u> | Second Amended Verified MOTION to Quash filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE.(sjlg,) (Entered: 05/30/2007) | |
| 06/04/2007 | <u>27</u> | Minutes for proceedings held before Judge Clarence A Brimmer: Motion Hearing held on 6/4/2007. Motions denied and order to be prepared by court. (Court Reporter Kathy Kendrick.)(sjs,) (Entered: 06/04/2007) | |
| 06/15/2007 | <u>28</u> | ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING OUTSTANDING MOTIONS by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer.(cc: all counsel on 6/18/07.)(sjlg,) (Entered: 06/18/2007) | |
| 06/28/2007 | <u>29</u> | OBJECTION to and MOTION to reconsider the denial of (14] Amended Motion to Quash and for findings of fact and to certify for appeal pursuant ot 28 U.S.C. 1292(b) filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (sjs,) Modified on 7/17/2007 (sdn,). (Entered: 07/02/2007) | |
| 06/28/2007 | <u>30</u> | NOTICE to Court of Reason for Absence at June 4, 2007 hearing and motion to vacate and set aside Order denying motions and to reschedule Hearing by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice (sjs,) (Entered: 07/02/2007) | |
| 07/09/2007 | <u>31</u> | OBJECTION to 29 Objection filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 07/09/2007) | |
| 08/02/2007 | <u>32</u> | SERVICE by Publication filed by Plaintiff USA. Last publication date 6/13/07. (Attachments: # 1 certificate of service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/02/2007) | |
| 08/07/2007 | <u>33</u> | MOTION for Entry of Default against Defendant Water Garden, Inc. filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/07/2007) | |
| 08/07/2007 | <u>34</u> | MOTION for Entry of Default against Defendant Newport Pacific Trust Co. filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/07/2007) | |
| 08/07/2007 | <u>35</u> | MOTION for Entry of Default against Defendant Perpetual Legacy Corp. filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/07/2007) | |
| 08/07/2007 | <u>36</u> | MOTION for Entry of Default against Defendant Carte Blanche Trust filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/07/2007) | |
| 08/13/2007 | <u>37</u> | ENTRY OF DEFAULT Filed against Perpetual Legacy Corp by the Clerk of US District Court Stephan Harris.(sjlg,) (Entered: 08/15/2007) | |
| 08/13/2007 | <u>38</u> | ENTRY OF DEFAULT Filed against Water Garden Inc by the Clerk of US District Court Stephan Harris (sjlg,) (Entered: 08/15/2007) | |

| 08/13/2007 | <u>39</u> | ENTRY OF DEFAULT Filed against Carte Blanche Trust by the Clerk of US District Court Stephan Harris (sjlg,) (Entered: 08/15/2007) | |
|------------|-----------|--|--|
| 08/13/2007 | <u>40</u> | ENTRY OF DEFAULT Filed against Newport Pacific Trust Co by the Clerk of US District Court Stephan Harris (sjlg,) (Entered: 08/15/2007) | |
| 08/30/2007 | 41 | MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>Defendant Carte Blanche Trust</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/30/2007) | |
| 08/30/2007 | 42 | MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>Defendant Newport Pacific Trust Co.</i> , <i>Ltd.</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/30/2007) | |
| 08/30/2007 | 43 | MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>Defendant Perpetual Legacy Corp.</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/30/2007) | |
| 08/30/2007 | 44 | MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>Defendant Water Garden, Inc.</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 08/30/2007) | |
| 10/05/2007 | <u>45</u> | ORDER by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer granting Motion for DEFAULT JUDGMENT against Perpetual Legacy Corp (sjlg,) (Entered: 10/05/2007) | |
| 10/05/2007 | <u>46</u> | ORDER by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer granting Motion for DEFAULT JUDGMENT against Carte Blanche Trust (sjlg,) (Entered: 10/05/2007) | |
| 10/05/2007 | <u>47</u> | ORDER by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer granting Motion for DEFAULT JUDGMENT against Newport Pacific Trust (sjlg,) (Entered: 10/05/2007) | |
| 10/05/2007 | 48 | ORDER by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer granting Motion for DEFAULT JUDGMENT against Water Garden Inc (sjlg,) (Entered: 10/05/2007) | |
| 11/30/2007 | 49 | MOTION for Entry of Default against Defendant Jane Joyce Rice filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order # 2 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 11/30/2007) | |
| 12/04/2007 | <u>50</u> | ENTRY OF DEFAULT filed against Jane Joyce Rice by the Clerk of Court, Stephan Harrisby Stephan Harris, Clerk of United States District Court.(szf,) (Entered: 12/04/2007) | |
| 12/05/2007 | <u>51</u> | NOTICE of Hearing: Hearing on Defendant's Objection to and Motion to Reconsider the Denial of Defendant's Amended Motion to Quash and all Other Pending Motions Timely Field by the Parties set for 1/4/2008 10:30 AM before Honorable Clarence A Brimmer. (szf,) (Entered: 12/05/2007) | |
| 12/13/2007 | <u>52</u> | REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE. MOTION to Strike Plaintiff's 49 Motion for Entry of Default, And MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside, and | |

| | | Void 50 Clerk's Entry of Default, filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (sjlg,) (Entered: 12/13/2007) | |
|------------|-----------|---|--|
| 12/20/2007 | <u>53</u> | RESPONSE to 52 Motion to Strike, Motion to Vacate, Motion to Set Aside filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 12/20/2007) | |
| 12/27/2007 | <u>54</u> | REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE. MOTION for Order Excusing the United States Attorney's Office from Appearing at the Hearing Scheduled for January 4, 2008 filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Vassallo, Nicholas) (Entered: 12/27/2007) | |
| 12/28/2007 | <u>55</u> | ORDER by the Honorable Clarence A Brimmer granting 54 Motion for Order Excusing the United States Attorney's Office from Appearing at the Hearing Scheduled for January 4, 2008 (sjlg,) (Entered: 01/02/2008) | |
| 01/04/2008 | <u>56</u> | Minutes for proceedings held before Judge Clarence A Brimmer: Motion Hearing held on 1/4/2008. All motions denied. (Court Reporter Janet Davis.)(sjs,) (Entered: 01/04/2008) | |
| 01/09/2008 | <u>57</u> | MOTION to "Reconsider, Vacate and Set Aside Default Judgment and 50 Clerk's Entry of Default", filed by Defendant Jane Joyce Rice. (szf,) (Entered: 01/09/2008) | |
| 01/11/2008 | | TRANSCRIPT of Motion Proceedings held on 1/4/08 before Judge Clarence A Brimmer. Court Reporter: Janet Davis. (sjs,) (Entered: 01/11/2008) | |
| 03/12/2008 | <u>58</u> | MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>Defendant Jane Joyce Rice</i> filed by Plaintiff USA. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Proposed Judgment, # 4 Certificate of Service)(Pitman, Michael) (Entered: 03/12/2008) | |

| PACER Service Center | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Transaction Receipt | | | |
| 03/25/2008 14:26:22 | | | |
| PACER Login: | us3706 | Client Code: | |
| Description: | Docket Report | Search Criteria: | 2:07-cv-00019-CAB |
| Billable Pages: | 4 | Cost: | 0.32 |